Nov 16, 2013, Updated Feb 20, 2017 Translation vs. Transliteration with Μεσσίας and Χριστός

I use A Consistent Translation, by Ralph Mount. In this translation Mount used one word of English to translate one word of Greek. He did this as consistently as he could. **This removes commentary AND proves that the Greek New Testament is inspired of God.** Mount stated *"I have not always chosen the best Greek word, or the only Greek, but in my judgment I found the best word I was able."*. In his Greek/English dictionary - which he made before he translated the New Testament - Mount details the occasional instances where he would translate a Greek word by more than one English word, so that readers could KNOW what the original Greek said.

All in all, I think this is the best English translation of the New Testament Greek. That said, I think that in John 1:41, Mount did include a type of commentary. He used the Hebrew $\pi \psi \dot{\rho}$ for the Greek word **Meooiav**, and then used the English transliteration of the Greek **Meooiav** and Hebrew instead of transliterating or translating the Greek word **XQLOTÓS** as either Christ or "anointed one".

John1:41 Consistent Translation: This-(one) is first finding (his)-own brother Simon, and he-is-saying to-him, We-have-found-and-still-have the (*1) אַיָּיָדָ which is being-translated-with (*2) Messiah

(*1) the Greek word here is **M**εσσίαν, which would transliterate into English as "Messian"

(*2)the Greek word here is Χριστός, which would transliterate into English as "Christos".

John1:41 ASV1901 He findeth first his own brother Simon, and saith unto him, We have found the Messiah (which is, being interpreted, Christ).

Gnt Morph:

εύφίσκει οὗτος πφῶτον τὸν ἀδελφὸν τὸν ἴδιον Σίμωνα καὶ λέγει αὐτῷ εὑφήκαμεν <mark>τὸν Μεσσίαν</mark> ὅ ἐστιν μεθεφμηνευόμενον Χ<mark>φιστός</mark>

Textus Receptus:

εύρίσκει οὗτος πρῶτος τὸν ἀδελφὸν τὸν ἴδιον Σίμωνα καὶ λέγει αὐτῷ Εύρήκαμεν <mark>τὸν Μεσσίαν</mark> ὅ ἐστιν μεθερμηνευόμενον <mark>ὅ</mark> Χριστός

Note the Textus Receptus (King James Text) has the Greek definite articles in front of both **Μεσσίαν and** Χριστός; the Gnt Morph does not have it preceeding Χριστός. In New Testament Greek, there are 24 forms for the definite article!

 $\tau \dot{\sigma} v$ is "the" in the accusative case, masculine, singular.

ő is "the" in the nominative case, masculine, singular.

Similarily, Greek words may have different endings do to case and place, such as with $M\epsilon\sigma\sigmai\alpha\nu/M\epsilon\sigma\sigmai\alpha\varsigma$.

Messiah is a transliteration of the Hebrew word π , ψ , Christ (a transliteration of Greekword XQLOTOS). Both words mean "(the) anointed (one)" in their own languages.Messiah is also an English transliteration of the Greek word

Mεσσίαν/Mεσσίας which in turn is considered to be a Greek *transliteration* of the Hebrew word π ^v^μ^μ *mashiyach*

Christ is an English *transliteration* of the **Greek word** XQLOTOS, and XQLOTOS is a *translation* of the Hebrew word Π , Ψ .

The Hebrew word Ͳϔϔζ (pronounced mä·shē'·akh, sometimes transliterated *mashiyach*), means "anointed" (cool comparison at Psalm 2:2). The Greek word Χριστός (pronounced khrēsto's, transliterated CHRISTOS, Christ) is a Greek language TRANSLATION of the Hebrew word Ͳϔϔζ, and also means "anointed". Thus the English transliteration "Christ" well conveys the meaning "anointed" --- if you know just a little Greek. The Greek word Μεσσίας (pronounced mes-sē'-äs, transliterated MESSIAS, Messiah) is a Greek TRANSLITERATION of the Hebrew word $\bar{\Pi}$, and thus also carries the Hebrew word's meaning "anointed". While I would agree that "Messiah" might be better used than "Christ" to convey the meaning "anointed", you run into consistency problems with that usage at John 1:41 & 4:25, where both Greek words appear together . Also note that the Septuagint (O.T. Greek) translated the Hebrew $\bar{\Pi}$, with Xριστός:

http://www.blbclassic.org/lang/lexicon/lexicon.cfm?Strongs=G5547&t=ASV

Μεσσίας G3323

http://www.blbclassic.org/lang/lexicon/lexicon.cfm?Strongs=G3323&t=ASV

Χριστ**ό**ς G5547

http://www.blbclassic.org/lang/lexicon/lexicon.cfm?Strongs=G5547&t=ASV

ַמְשִׁיח mä·shē'·akh *mashiyach H4899*

http://www.blbclassic.org/lang/lexicon/lexicon.cfm?Strongs=H4899&t=ASV

When Mount made his N.T. translation, he felt that the transliteration "Christ" lost the meaning of the title "the Messiah". And of course then the meaning (translation) "anointed" was also lost. He wanted to place emphasis that Jesus is the Jewish Messiah, which certainly is sound doctrine that bible readers should understand. However, "Messiah" is still just a transliterated word...

I should point out that Mount's use of "Messiah" for XQIOTOS, is consistent throughout his New Testament translation. However, at John 1:41 he ran into a problem as the Greek text has both $M \epsilon \sigma \sigma i \alpha \nu$ and XQIOTOS. Mount had already used "Messiah" for XQIOTOS, so the logical (and correct) use of "Messiah" to transliterate $M \epsilon \sigma \sigma i \alpha \nu$ would unfortunately not be consistent. For a workaround, Mount - inserted - THE HEBREW $\pi \psi \gamma$ for the Greek word Meosiav. This word form Meosias only occurs one other time in the New Testament Greek, and likewise is seen with XQLOTÓS:

John 4:25 Consistent Translation - The woman is-saying to-him, I-am-knowing-absolutely that 「ウヅウ, is-coming, the (one) being-called Messiah; at-the-time-that he-might-come, that (one) will-tell to-us all-(things).

John 4:25 ASV 1901 - The woman saith unto him, I know that Messiah cometh (he that is called Christ): when he is come, he will declare unto us all things.

Gnt Morph:

λέγει αὐτῷ ἡ γυνή οἶδα ὅτι Μεσσίας ἔοχεται ὁ λεγόμενος <mark>Χοιστός</mark> ὅταν ἔλθη ἐκεῖνος ἀναγγελεῖ ἡμῖν ἅπαντα

Textus Receptus:

λέγει αὐτῷ ἡ γυνή Οἶδα ὅτι Μεσσίας ἔοχεται ὁ λεγόμενος <mark>Χοιστός</mark> ὅταν ἔλθῃ ἐκεῖνος ἀναγγελεῖ ἡμῖν πάντα

Note that in John 1:41 and John 4:25 that both of the Greek words we are looking at mean "anointed". One Greek word is a transliteration ($M\epsilon\sigma\sigma\ell\alpha\nu/M\epsilon\sigma\sigma\ell\alpha\varsigma$) and one a translation ($XQ\iota\sigma\tau\delta\varsigma$).

It seems Mount's use of the Hebrew for the Greek word $M \epsilon \sigma \sigma i \alpha \varsigma$ was from a belief that the writer or speaker recorded in the text spoke this word in Hebrew. This may be, but that is an unknown, and so the use the Hebrew $\pi \dot{\gamma} \dot{\gamma} \dot{\gamma}$ in an English translation substituting a transliteration (*Messias*) of the Greek word $M \epsilon \sigma \sigma i \alpha \varsigma$ amounts to commentary. Granted,

Ralph Mount's commentary should be listened to. It seems Mount was working this out as his Greek/English dictionary does not have entries of MEODÍAC, XQLOTÓC...

I am perplexed as to why Mount did this, as he was always so adamant that you do not ever alter the Word of God, and that the Greek text was the inspired Word of God. Perhaps he found a manuscript that had this Hebrew word in it? However, I do not recall him ever mentioning that. Also, as it typical in translating New Testament Greek, Mount transliterated proper names. For example, "Jesus" is a dated English transliteration of the Greek word $I\eta\sigma\sigma\tilde{v}\varsigma$. "Jesus" is NOT a *translation* of $I\eta\sigma\sigma\tilde{v}\varsigma$! It seems to me inconsistent to transliterate proper names/titles elsewhere but not here.

It occurs to me that $M \epsilon \sigma \sigma i \alpha v$ could have been in use by Greek speaking Jews as a name/title for some time - that is to say, perhaps the Jews of the first century would have treated the Hebrew $\eta \psi \eta$ mashiyach with the Greek $M \epsilon \sigma \sigma i \alpha v$, just as we now generally treat the Greek $XQI\sigma \tau \delta \varsigma$ with English "Christ"; i.e. the <u>transliteration</u> of an earlier language word becomes a name or title in the spoken/current language.

However, the use of $M \mathcal{E} \sigma \sigma i \alpha \nu$ by the Greek speaking Jews does not seem to be the case with the Septuagint, as it appears the LXX uses $\chi Q \iota \sigma \tau o \tilde{\nu}$ to <u>translate</u> $\eta \dot{\nu} \dot{\mu} \dot{\rho}$ *mashiyach* (Ps.2:2). In fact, as the LXX confirms that the Jews of the period used $\chi Q \iota \sigma \tau o \tilde{\nu}$ to <u>translate</u> $\eta \dot{\nu} \dot{\mu} \dot{\rho}$, we see the further uniqueness of the use of $M \mathcal{E} \sigma \sigma i \alpha \nu$ in the New Testament. Still, I don't see that this uniqueness is added to (in the long run) by using a Hebrew word in an English translation of New Testament Greek!

An important consideration: While we cannot say for certain what language/s the New Testament writers *spoke*, we can determine that the inspired original written text was NOT

Hebrew, as the use of $\eta \psi \rho$ or $M \epsilon \sigma \sigma i \alpha \nu$ dictates that the document was not written in Hebrew originally. For if it were originally written in Hebrew, the explanation "which is being-translated" would not have been needed. That is, if the original text was Hebrew, there would not be a note explaining that "Messiah is being translated into the Greek word Christos"

"Jesus" is an English transliteration of the Greek word IESOU

Unfortunately, some in the "Messianic" assembly have gotten so far off the mark that they don't

recognize XQLOTOS as legitimate. And in this confusion, they denounce Christians, and then the Greek. Of course the problem with the Messy-Antics is not how Mount - or anyone else - translates the Greek; they simply do not trust the Greek. Granted, this lack of trust comes from the lack of accurate translations. Satan's method of error has always been to question what God said: "Yea, hath God said?" Without an inspired Greek text, the Messianic theology becomes "Messy-Antic", as they are just making things up.

> * * *

There is no lost Hebrew text:

Psalm 12: 6&7 "The words of Jehovah are pure words; As silver tried in a furnace on the earth, Purified seven times. Thou wilt keep them, O Jehovah, Thou wilt preserve them from this generation for ever."

2 Corinthians 3:2 YOU yourselves-are our epistle, having-been-written-and-still-written in our hearts, coming-to-be-known and being-read by all MEN,

v3 (Ones)-being-manifested that You;-are (an) epistle of-Messiah ministered by us, havingbeen-written-and-still-written not with-black-ink BUT with (the) Spirit of-(the)-living God, not in flat-tablets of-stone BUT in flat-tablets (of) fleshy hearts.

1 Peter1:25 (ASV 1901) "But the word of the Lord abideth for ever. And this is the word of good tidings which was preached unto you."

A Consistent Translation: But the saying of-Jehovah is-remaining into the age. But this is the saying namely-the good-news-having-been-brought with-reference-to YOU

Again, a consistent translation is doable, and has been done, and proves that the New Testament Greek text has ONE author...i.e. the New Testament Greek is the Word of God.